1884, 600,000. A very large run of salmon came into the lower part of the river in September and October, but, owing to low water, did not come up as far as the hatchery.

Last year we distributed 85,000 Lake Superior fry, and this year we purchased 200,000 eggs, but unfortunately they were packed with green water-weeds, which fermented and raised the temperature to about 85°, which destroyed all the eggs. As we can purchase no more, I asked you for as many as you can spare us.

I have in the breeding ponds two or three hundred California trout, but not old enough to yield us any eggs this year. I impregnated some brook-trout eggs with the milt of the California trout last week. Should you desire to get a supply of the eggs of the whitefish, called poundfish in Maine, you can obtain any amount you may want in Lake Winnepesaukee, a few miles from here.

PLYMOUTH, N. H., January 7, 1885.

## 142.—STATISTICS OF THE FISHERIES OF THE PROVINCE OF BRIT-ISH COLUMBIA FOR 1884.

## By GEORGE PITTENDRIGH,

Inspector of the Fisheries.

Number and value of vessels engaged in the different fisheries during the year 1884.

10 steamers and steam auxiliaries, from 3 to 96 tons	••••••	\$63,85
878 fishing boats }	• • • • • • • •	42, 75
65 flat-boats		5,70
999 salmon nets, 295,770 yards		119,63
39 herring seines		
5 herring nets, 700 yards		2,50
78 fish seines, 6,800 yards		6,80
3 oolahan nets		7
		246, 29
25 salmon canneries, estimated value	\$444,500	
1 oil factory, Skidegate, Queen Charlotte's Islands	10,000	
1 oil and scrap factory, Burrard Inlet	45,000	
1 floating cannery and oil factory	60,000	
Various salting stations	11,000	
· ·		570, 50
		816, 79
Sailors		8
Fishermen		1 00
Native hunters, with sailing fleet 296		1,88
Shoremen		1,31

Yield and value of the different fisheries in the year 1884.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Quantity.	Value.	
Salmon:				
Salted	barrels	5, 6361	\$50,728	2 5
	number.	173, 056	51, 916	í
Conned	cases 4 dozen 1-pound cans	141, 242	776, 831	
Cmaked	poundspounds	367, 000		
ошокои.			51, 380	
Sturgeon, ire	sh doiting, &c dodo	352, 900	17, 645	
Haddock, WI	nung, &odo	240, 700	9, 628	
Halibut	smelt:	150, 000	9,000	, (
Herring and	smelt:	1.5		
	do		1, 727	5
Smoked .			6, 500	
Fish assorted		58, 400	8, 504	
Cront	poundsdodo.	76, 050	5, 323	
Oolahans:		,	0, 020	
Fresh	do	37, 500	2, 250	. ^
		33, 006	2, 640	
Smota	dodo	28, 000	2,040	Ň
Sinokeu.	seal skins	28,000	2, 800	
ar and mar	sem skins		156, 419	
Joranan om	gallons	43	43	
Black sbark (	and dogfish oil, refineddo	45,000	24, 800	
Dogfish oil	and dogfish oil, refineddododo	10,000	3,500	0
Salmon oil	dodo	1.452	580	8
Clams, canne	d. cases 4 dozen 1-pound cans	300	1, 800	0
Fish sold in n	parkets, not including New Westminister		110,000	
Fish cured for	nrivate consumption		8 000	ň
almon and o	ther fish: Estimated consumption by Chinese and other la- be Central Pacific Railway, not specially recordedrs imported and planted on bedsbarrels		0,000	•
horors on t	ha Control Pacific Railway, not engoighly recorded		60,000	۸
natorn ouste	re imported and planted on hode	10.	200	
Intern oreton	8	210	1 050	
			1, 050	U
Total	***************************************		1, 358, 267	1
	nsumption by Indian population as per previous computa-			
tion:	manufactor of receme beliefered to be because combette.	1		
Colmon		l	4 975 000	^
Talibat		•••••	4, 375, 000	
manout	and other fish	••••••	180, 000	
Diurgeon	KDU Other usu		250, 000	
Fish oils.		•••••	80,000	0
	·	ĺ	4, 885, 000	0
Grand t	otal of approximate yield, 1884		6, 243, 267	1

Comparative statement of yield of the years 1883 and 1884, exclusive of Indian consumption:

Total, 1883	\$1, 644, 645 42 1, 358, 267 10
Decrease 1884	286, 378 32

## 143.—PETITION TO PROHIBIT THE SEINING OF BLUEFISH. By FRED. W. DICKINSON.

[Letter to Prof. S. F. Baird.]

The firm in which I am partner (H. & J. Crosby & Co., Osterville, Mass.) and four hundred other petitioners from Cape Cod towns are soon to be granted a hearing by our legislative committee on fisheries on a petition to probibit the seining of bluefish in the waters of Vineyard Sound controlled by the State of Massachusetts, between Wood's Holl and Chatham. Our reasons for asking the legislation are:

(1) In former years when bluefish were seldom taken except by hook and line or in weirs the fishermen had no difficulty in catching a full fare within a mile of shore, but within the past two years a great many